

1 Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)  
2 robert.mccauley@finnegan.com  
3 Erik P. Puknys (SBN 190926)  
4 erik.puknys@finnegan.com  
5 Jacob Schroeder (SBN 264717)  
6 jacob.schroeder@finnegan.com  
7 Jeffrey D. Smyth (SBN 280665)  
8 jeffrey.smyth@finnegan.com  
9 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
10 GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP  
11 3300 Hillview Avenue  
12 Palo Alto, California 94304  
13 Telephone: (650) 849-6600  
14 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

9  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
ASETEK DANMARK A/S

11  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15  
16 ASETEK DANMARK A/S

CASE NO. 3:16-CV-07068-JST

17 Plaintiff,

**ASETEK'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION  
AND PREHEARING STATEMENT  
(PATENT L.R. 4-3)**

18 v.

19 NEWEGG INC., NEWEGG NORTH  
20 AMERICA INC.,

21 Defendants.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1     **1. Introduction**

2         The parties have exchanged settlement agreements and are close to reaching agreement on  
 3 settlement terms that will resolve their dispute. Asetek sent Newegg a proposed written settlement  
 4 agreement on August 8, 2017, and on August 25, 2017 (the date this statement is being filed),  
 5 Newegg responded with a few comments.

6         Asetek is filing this claim construction and prehearing statement on its own because Newegg  
 7 has not been participating in the claim construction exchange process, presumably due to the  
 8 ongoing settlement negotiations. Newegg did not serve Invalidity Contentions (Pat. L.R. 3-3) or the  
 9 accompanying document production (Pat. L.R. 3-4). Newegg neither served a list of claim terms for  
 10 construction (Pat. L.R. 4-1) nor their proposed constructions (Pat. L.R. 4-2). (Asetek served  
 11 Newegg with its Patent L.R. 4-1 and 4-2 disclosures (copy attached as Exhibit A hereto)).

12         Pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-3 and this Court's scheduling order (ECF No. 47), Asetek submits  
 13 its Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement with respect to U.S. Patent Nos. 8,240,362 and  
 14 8,245,764.

15     **2. Agreed Claim Constructions (Patent L.R. 4-3(a))**

16         None. Because Newegg has not been participating in the claim construction process, Asetek  
 17 does not know Newegg's positions on claim construction.

18     **3. Proposed Constructions of Disputed Terms (Patent L.R. 4-3(b))**

19         Because Newegg did not serve disclosures under Patent Local Rules 4-1 and 4-2, Asetek  
 20 requests the Court adopt Asetek's proposed constructions included in its Patent Local Rule 4-2  
 21 disclosure (Exhibit A).

22     **4. Identification of Terms for Construction (Patent L.R. 4-3 (c))**

23         Asetek requests the Court adopt Asetek's proposed constructions for each of the terms  
 24 identified in its Patent Local Rule 4-2 disclosure, attached as Exhibit A.

25     **5. Anticipated Length of Claim Construction Hearing (Patent L.R. 4-3 (d))**

26         The Court has set the Claim Construction Hearing for November 7, 2017, starting at 1:30 pm.  
 27 ECF No. 47 (the same date and time as in the *Asia Vital Components Co. v. Asetek Danmark A/S*,

1 Case No. 3:16-cv-7160-JST (N.D. Cal.)). Because Newegg did not identify proposed terms or  
2 proposed constructions, Asetek does not believe a Markman hearing is necessary in this action.

3 **6. Anticipated Witnesses at the Claim Construction Hearing (Patent L.R. 4-3(e))**

4 Asetek does not anticipate calling witnesses at the Claim Construction Hearing, if held.

5 **7. Identification of Factual Findings Requested from the Court (Patent L.R. 4-3(f))**

6 Asetek does not anticipate requesting any factual findings from the Court.

7  
8 Dated: August 25, 2017

9 By: /s/ Robert F. McCauley

10 Robert F. McCauley  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
ASETEK DANMARK A/S

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28